Everyday millions of people around the world undergo surgical operations and subsequently experience postoperative pain. Postoperative pain has numerous side effects, including (but not limited to) atelectasis, thrombosis, myocardial ischemia, cardiac arrhythmia, electrolyte imbalance, ileus, and urinary retention. The aforementioned underscores the significance of effective control of postoperative pain. Cesarean section is one of the most common types of surgeries in the world. Over the past century, cesarean section has significantly reduced the rate of neonatal deaths and has saved the lives of millions of new mothers. Cesareans can negatively affect the physical domains of quality of life for a woman after delivery and postoperative pain is one of the most significant side effects of cesarean section. Pain is an unpleasant sensory and emotional experience associated with actual or potential tissue damage. Controlling postoperative pain and getting the new mother out of bed and moving as early as possible following the surgery will reduce the respiratory, cardiovascular, urinary, and digestive side effects of surgery. There are numerous medications for controlling postoperative pain. Based on the pros and cons of each medication, as well as the patient’s preference, physicians choose the most suitable medication for each patient. Opioids, such as morphine and pethidine, are the most commonly used medications for postoperative pain. However,
opioids have numerous side effects including addiction, respiratory depression, drug resistance, nausea, and vomiting. Therefore, surgeons generally prefer the use of non-opioid analgesics for reducing postoperative pain.4

Numerous studies have examined the effect of different doses of ketamine in combination with other medications in reducing postoperative pain.4,7-17 However, the results have been contradictory. While some studies have shown that ketamine reduces the pain score and consequently the need for opioids following surgery8,13,17 others have not found such results.9,12 Spinal anesthesia can be done using different drug combinations including bupivacaine alone, bupivacaine and fentanyl, bupivacaine and fentanyl and morphine. The effect of ketamine on postoperative pain following spinal anesthesia using different drug combinations has also been investigated.9

In this study we examined the effect of low-dose intravenous ketamine on postoperative pain and the need for analgesics, including opioids, following cesarean section with spinal anesthesia using bupivacaine.

**METHODS**

One hundred and sixty pregnant women who were admitted to the Amiralmomenin Hospital in Semnan, Iran, between April 2013 and March 2014 for elective cesarean section volunteered to participate in this randomized controlled clinical trial (registry number IRCT2012100611019N1).

Women with singleton term pregnancy who were scheduled to undergo elective cesarean section were included in the study. Exclusion criteria were: history of drug abuse, chronic diseases such as diabetes, history of previous cesarean section or any other abdominal surgery, abnormal bleeding during and/or following the surgery, allergic reaction to ketamine, psychological disorders, high blood pressure, high intracranial pressure, history of seizure, and history of hallucination following taking ketamine. Women with contraindications to spinal anesthesia were also excluded from the study.

All participants were informed about the experimental procedure and the potential side effects of the medications used in the study before signing a consent form. All procedures were approved by the Office of Research Ethics of the Semnan University of Medical Sciences.

Participants were randomly divided into equal groups (n=80 in each group). All participants had spinal anesthesia using bupivacaine. The dose of the drug, as well as the method of injection was identical for all individuals; 12.5mg or 2.5cc 0.5% solution, in the L4-L5 space using needle 25, midline, with the patient in a sitting position. The duration of block was considered as the duration between inducing anesthesia at T4 level to back of sensation at umbilicus level. The upper dermatome level was T4 in all patients. Duration of surgery in all participants was recorded. Five minutes after delivery, women in the experimental group received 0.25mg/kg intravenous ketamine via bolus dose, while the control group received the same amount of normal saline. A researcher blind to the aforementioned grouping and the nature of treatment received by each group monitored the participants and recorded if and when the participants felt any pain and asked for sedatives. A numeric pain rating scale was used to record the participants’ pain score at one, two, six, and 12 hours following surgery. Participants were asked to rate the intensity of their pain in the scale of one (no pain at all) to 10 (very intense and unimaginable pain). If the patient asked for analgesics, she was given a 100mg rectal diclofenac suppository. If the pain persisted the patient was given another 100mg rectal diclofenac suppository every six hours, with a maximum of four suppositories in 24 hours. In cases where the pain score was greater than five and the pain was persistent and could not be controlled by diclofenac suppositories, pethidine (50mg, intramuscular injection) was prescribed. A maximum of three doses of pethidine were prescribed during the first 24 hours following surgery, with a minimum six hour interval between the two consecutive injections. Participants were monitored for common side effects, such as nausea, vomiting, headache, hallucination, and itching, and the prevalence of such side effects was recorded.

The pain scores, time to first request for analgesia, and the number of diclofenac suppositories and pethidine injections used in the first 24 hours following surgery were compared between the two groups using t-tests and Mann-Whitney U test. Chi-squared and Fisher’s exact test were used to compare the frequency of side effects. The statistic software SPSS was used for all statistical analysis. For all analyses, a p-value of less than 0.050 was considered to be significant.
RESULTS

There was no significant difference between the experimental and control groups in participants’ age (mean ± standard deviation (SD)=27.4 ± 4.8 years and 27.6 ± 4.4 years, respectively; \( p=0.700 \)) and their weight (78.5±11.9 and 77.7±10.8; \( p=0.600 \)). The mean ± SD for duration of surgery was 40.0±3.5 minutes (the minimum time was 35 minutes and the maximum time was 59 minutes) while there was no significant difference between the two groups in term of duration of surgery (\( p=0.500 \)) [Table 1]. All of the participants were primigravida.

There was a significant difference between the two groups in pain scores at one, two, six, and 12 hours following surgery. The pain scores were significantly greater for the control group than the experimental group at all time intervals (\( p<0.001 \)) [Table 2].

There were significant differences between the two groups in the time to first request for analgesia (\( p<0.001 \)), time to the first use of diclofenac suppository (\( p<0.001 \)), and time to the first pethidine injection (\( p<0.001 \)). The time interval between the surgery and when the patient first complained of pain and requested analgesia was significantly longer for the experimental group than the control group. The time interval between surgery and the first use of diclofenac suppository, and the first pethidine injection were also significantly longer for the experimental group than the control group [Table 3].

There was a significant difference between the two groups in the mean number of doses of diclofenac (\( p<0.001 \)) and pethidine (\( p<0.001 \)) received. The mean ±SD of diclofenac received by the experimental and control group were 1.79±2 and 2.86±3, respectively. The mean ± SD of pethidine received by the experimental and control group were 0.44±0 and 1.62±2, respectively.

Everyone in the control group used diclofenac suppositories; however, four participants from the experimental group (5%) did not use diclofenac suppositories at all. The majority of the participants in the control group (n=45) required the first diclofenac suppository during the first hour following the surgery. On the contrary, the majority of the participants in the experimental group who used a suppository (n=23) took the first suppository during the second hour after surgery. This suggests that pain started later in the experimental group compared to the control group.

Only one participant from the control group (1.2%) and 46 participants from the experimental group (57.5%) did not require pethidine. Participants in the control group who used pethidine (n=36) required their first injection during the first hour after surgery, whereas participants in the experimental group who required pethidine (n=17) received their first injection during the second hour after surgery. These results further indicate that the initiation of pain was delayed in the experimental group compared to the control group. In total,

**Table 1:** Characteristics of participants for the control and experimental groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Control group (n=80)</th>
<th>Experimental group (n=80)</th>
<th>( p )-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>27.6±4.4</td>
<td>27.4±4.8</td>
<td>0.700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>77.7±10.8</td>
<td>78.5±11.9</td>
<td>0.600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of surgery (minutes)</td>
<td>39.8±3.2</td>
<td>40.2±3.8</td>
<td>0.500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2:** The mean and standard deviation of pain scores for the two groups of participants at one, two, six, and 12 hours following surgery.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time interval</th>
<th>Control group (n=80)</th>
<th>Experimental group (n=80)</th>
<th>( p )-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>After one hour</td>
<td>4.95±1.2</td>
<td>3.55±0.31</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After two hours</td>
<td>5.38±1.06</td>
<td>3.92±1.33</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After six hours</td>
<td>6.16±0.27</td>
<td>3.90±1.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 12 hours</td>
<td>6.46±0.47</td>
<td>3.19±0.67</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3:** The time interval (in hours) between the surgery and the first complaint of pain and request for and use of analgesia, and number of their use for the two groups of participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time to (hours)</th>
<th>Control group (n=80)</th>
<th>Experimental group (n=80)</th>
<th>( p )-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First request for analgesia**</td>
<td>1.36±0.48</td>
<td>2.76±1.28</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First diclofenac suppository use**</td>
<td>1.48±0.6</td>
<td>2.89±1.33</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diclofenac use number***</td>
<td>3(1,4)</td>
<td>2(0,4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First pethidine injection**</td>
<td>4.10±2.85</td>
<td>6.12±3.79</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pethidine use number***</td>
<td>2(1,3)</td>
<td>0(0,2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*\( \text{Mann-Whitney U test;} \) **\( \text{mean±SD;} \) ***\( \text{median(range)} \)
the experimental group received 143 diclofenac suppositories and 35 doses of pethidine, while the control group received 229 diclofenac suppositories and 130 doses of pethidine.

Table 4 summarizes the frequency of common side effects of surgery in the two groups. There was no significant difference between the two groups in the occurrence of nausea \((p=0.865)\) and headache \((p=0.301)\). Although itching was more common in the control group than the experimental group, the difference was not significant \((p=0.079)\). Vomiting was significantly more common in the control group than the experimental group \((p=0.020)\). There was a significant difference between the two groups in hallucination \((p=0.032)\), which was more common in the experimental group than the control group.


dis
discussion

Postoperative pain is one of the most significant side effects of cesarean section.\(^5\) There are numerous methods for controlling postoperative pain. Opioids, such as morphine and pethidine, are the most commonly used medications; however, they usually cause multiple side effects.\(^7\) In an attempt to avoid the side effects of opioids, many scientists\(^4,18,19\) have examined the effectiveness of different doses of ketamine administered in a variety of methods as an alternative approach for controlling postoperative pain following cesarean section. We examined the effect of low-dose intravenous ketamine \((0.25mg/kg)\) on postoperative pain in women undergoing cesarean section.

Our results showed that the pain scores were significantly greater for the control group than the experimental group at one, two, six, and 12 hours following surgery indicating that participants in the control group experienced a greater level of postoperative pain than those in the experimental group. This finding was similar to reports by Sen and colleagues\(^13\) who showed that postoperative pain scores were significantly lower in elective cesarean section women who received low-dose intravenous ketamine \((0.15mg/kg)\) than those who received an equal volume of normal saline intravenously (control group) and those who received intrathecal fentanyl. Arbabi and Ghazi-Saidi\(^15\) also reported less severe pain in women who received low-dose ketamine for postoperative pain following cesarean section.

In our study, the initiation of pain was delayed in the experimental group, and therefore the time to first request for analgesia was significantly longer in this group than the control group. The longer time to first request for analgesia in women who had received low-dose intravenous ketamine has also been previously reported.\(^10,13,15\) Furthermore, on average participants in the experimental group used fewer doses of analgesics than those in the control group. This finding is in agreement with reports by Arbabi and Ghazi-Saidi.\(^15\)

Low-dose ketamine has been used to control postoperative pain following other types of surgeries too. Dahmani and colleagues\(^20\) showed that local administration of ketamine during tonsillectomy decreases the intensity of postoperative pain and the need for analgesics. Adam et al,\(^14\) examined the effect of low-dose intravenous ketamine in combination with continuous femoral nerve block on postoperative pain and rehabilitation following total knee arthroplasty. The ketamine group required significantly less analgesics than the control group. They also reached 90° of active knee flexion significantly sooner than the control group. The authors concluded that ketamine is a useful analgesic adjuvant in perioperative multimodal analgesia and could contribute to early knee mobilization.

Using a randomized, double-blind placebo-controlled design, Bauchat and colleagues\(^9\) examined the effect of intravenous ketamine \(10mg\) administered during spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery on the incidence of breakthrough pain, the pain that required supplemental postoperative analgesia. Ketamine was used in addition to intrathecal morphine and intravenous ketorolac. The authors showed that the incidence of breakthrough pain in the first 24 hours following the surgery was not different between the
The pain score in the first 24 hours and the number of analgesics (acetaminophen/hydrocodone tablets) administered during the first 24 or 72 hours following surgery were similar for the two groups. They concluded that low-dose ketamine offers no additional benefit during cesarean delivery in patients who received intrathecal morphine and intravenous ketorolac. The difference between the results of our study and that of Bauchat et al, might be due to the differences in the dose of ketamine. While we used 0.25mg/kg ketamine, Bauchat et al, used 10mg. Furthermore, we used bupivacaine for spinal anesthesia. Bauchat et al, however, used a combination of bupivacaine, fentanyl, and morphine. The aforementioned may have contributed to the diverse findings of the two studies. Nonetheless, Bauchat et al, did report lower pain scores in the group who received ketamine compared to the control group at two weeks postpartum.

In a study by Moshiri and colleagues administrating a low dose of ketamine (0.15mg/kg) did not affect the time to first request for analgesia following cesarean section. Therefore, the authors concluded that low-dose ketamine does not reduce the postoperative pain following cesarean section. Once again, the difference between the findings of our study and that of Moshiri et al, might be due to the differences in the dose of ketamine used, and the sample size. In comparison to our study, Moshiri et al, studied the effect of ketamine on a smaller group of participants (n=120 vs. n=160 in our study), and used a lower dose of ketamine (0.15mg/kg vs. 0.25mg/kg in our study).

The study performed by Kathirvel et al, which evaluated the effects of intrathecal ketamine added to bupivacaine for spinal anaesthesia, showed that the duration of motor blockade was shorter in the ketamine group. However, significantly more patients in the ketamine group had adverse events, including sedation, dizziness, nystagmus, strange feelings, and postoperative nausea and vomiting. They concluded that the central adverse effects of ketamine limited its spinal application. But in our study using low dose ketamine has only one limit in term of side effects, which was hallucination.

Reza and colleagues also reported that low-dose ketamine has no effect on patients’ pain scores at two, six, 12, and 24 hours following surgery or on the prevalence of side effects. However, in comparison with our study, they used a higher dose of ketamine (0.5mg/kg vs. 0.25mg/kg in our study) in a smaller sample (n=60 vs. n=160 in our study). Furthermore, while we used spinal anesthesia using bupivacaine, participants in their study underwent general anesthesia using thiopental and succinylcholine. The aforementioned differences might have contributed to the different findings of the two studies.

Our study showed that ketamine had no significant impact on the prevalence of side effects such as nausea, headache, and itching. Similar results were reported by Reza et al. In our study, vomiting was significantly less common in patients that received ketamine. However, in the study by Reza et al, the occurrence of vomiting was similar between the experimental and control group. The different findings might be due to the fact that the participants in our study received smaller doses of pethidine.

In our study, although hallucination was more common in patients who received ketamine only 22.5% of these patients complained of hallucination. In contrast, Arbabi and Ghazi-Saidi reported less prevalence of hallucination in individuals who received ketamine. The differences in the type of anesthesia, sample size, dose of ketamine, and the time ketamine was administered might have contributed to the different findings. Arbabi and Ghazi-Saidi studied the effects of 0.3mg/kg ketamine administered before general anesthesia in a group of 60 parturient women. Sabzi and colleagues reported that Midazolam effectively reduces ketamine-induced postoperative hallucination.

The inevitable use of analgesics would affect the pain score of patients and hide the presumptive effects of ketamine, especially in the later measurements, limiting the study.

CONCLUSION

We conclude that administration of low-dose intravenous ketamine following cesarean section with spinal anesthesia reduces postoperative pain and subsequently the need for analgesics. It also reduced the prevalence of side effects.

To fully understand the effect of ketamine in postoperative pain following cesarean section we recommend that future studies examine the effect of different doses of ketamine, alone and in combination with other medications to reduce
its inevitable side effects (e.g., benzodiazepine to control hallucination). Furthermore, we recommend future studies use larger sample sizes to increase their power in detecting the true differences between the experimental and control groups.
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