Abstract

Objective: To study the success results rates and complications of stapedotomy compared to stapedectomy in the operative management of otosclerosis.

Methods: This is a retrospective study of 50 patients who were treated surgically for otosclerosis. The medical records of these patients were reviewed for the type of operation performed, complications and the serial pure tone audiometries pre- and postoperatively for at least one year. Patients with conductive hearing loss not due to otosclerosis were excluded from this study. The medical records of equal number of patients (25 patients with stapedotomy and 25 patients with stapedectomy) were analyzed for hearing improvement or loss, postoperative nausea, vomiting, vertigo, nystagmus, perilymph fistula, reparative granuloma, labyrinthitis, tinnitus and perforation of the tympanic membrane. All operations were performed by the senior consultant-otologists of our department. Stapes superstructures were removed by crural scissors and stapes footplate was perforated by microdrill.

Results: Out of 25 patients with stapedotomy, 22 (88%) developed complete closure (≤10 dB) of the air-bone gap on pure tune audiometry; in two patients (8%), the air-bone gap improved to less 20 dB, and recurrent conductive hearing loss (due piston slipping) in one patient (4%). On the other hand, in patients with stapedectomy, only 16 patients (64%) developed complete closure of the air-bone gap (≤10 dB) on pure tune audiometry, and in four patients (16%) the air-bone gap improved to less 20 dB, while recurrent conductive hearing loss occurred in three patients (12%), one patient developed complete sensorineural hearing loss (4%), there was one case of fluctuating hearing loss due to reparative granuloma (4%), perilymph fistula was reported in one case (4%) and no cases of facial palsy or perforation of the tympanic membrane were recorded.

Conclusion: The results of this study clearly show that stapedotomy gives better hearing results and fewer complications than stapedectomy.
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Introduction

There are two main causes of conductive hearing loss due to fixation of stapes footplate: deposition of spongy bone around the footplate (otosclerosis) and congenital malformation of stapes. If otosclerosis is left without treatment, it will lead to complete deafness. Otosclerosis is more common in Caucasians and Asians than in Africans. It is well-known that pregnancy may trigger the onset of otosclerosis or worsen it. Another risk factor implicated is measles virus, which is why the incidence of otosclerosis decreased with the introduction of measles vaccination. Vasalva was the first to describe otosclerosis in 1735 as an ankylosis of the stapes to the margins of the oval window.1

Otosclerosis is an autosomal dominant disorder with variable penetrance. The incidence of clinical otosclerosis in the general population is about 2%. Female to male ratio is 2:1. It usually affects both ears (85% - 90%). Age predilection is 10-30 years. Stapedectomy is an operation in which the stapes superstructures and footplate are removed and replaced by prosthesis. It was first performed by Dr. John J. Shea.1 In stapedotomy, a small hole is performed in the fixed footplate under microscope magnification and piston prosthesis is inserted in the hole and connected to the long process of the incus from the other side. It was first performed by Professor Henri André Martin. The tiny hole is made by needle, perforator, microdrill or laser.2

The aim of this study was to compare the success results and complications of both these procedures in the management of otosclerosis.

Methods

This is a retrospective study of 50 patients who were treated surgically for otosclerosis at King Husein Medical Centre of the Royal Medical Services in Jordan, between January 2006 and January 2009. The medical records of these patients were reviewed for the type of operation performed, complications and the serial pure tone audiometries pre- and postoperatively for at least one year. Patients with mixed hearing loss, other than otosclerotic causes of conductive hearing loss, revision cases and patients with atypical findings of footplate intraoperatively (obliterative, floating and gusher types) were excluded from this study to focus only on the complications from the type of surgery and exclude complications related to the patient or surgical findings. The medical records of
equal number of patients (25 patients with stapedotomy and 25 patients with stapedectomy) were analyzed for hearing improvement or deterioration, postoperative nausea, vomiting, vertigo, nystagmus, perilymph fistula, reparative granuloma, labyrinthitis, tinnitus and perforation of the tympanic membrane.

All operations were performed by the senior consultant-otologists of our department. Surgical approaches were either transcanal or endaural depending on the size of the external auditory meatus. Stapes superstructures were removed by crural scissors and stapes footplate was perforated by microdrill. All patients were given antibiotics for five days after surgery to prevent infection. Sutures and packing were removed after one week.

Statistical analysis of hearing improvement following stapedotomy compared to stapedectomy was done using the student t-test with the probability value of $p<0.05$ being significant.

## Results

Out of 25 patients with stapedotomy, 22 (88%) developed complete closure of the air-bone gap ($\leq 10 \text{ dB}$) on pure tune audiometry. In two patients (8%) the air-bone gap improved to less 20 dB, recurrent conductive hearing loss (due piston slipping) was seen in one patient (4%), and no cases of perilymph fistula, reparative granuloma, labyrinthitis, tinnitus facial palsy and perforation of the tympanic membrane were recorded.

On the other hand, in patients with stapedectomy, only 16 patients (64%) developed complete closure of the air-bone gap ($\leq 10 \text{ dB}$) on pure tune audiometry; while in four patients (16%), the air-bone gap improved to less 20 dB, recurrent conductive hearing loss was in three patient (12%), one patient developed complete sensorineural hearing loss (4%), and one case of fluctuating hearing loss due to reparative granuloma (4%) was reported. Complications such as perilymph fistula were reported in one case (4%) and no cases of facial palsy or perforation of the tympanic membrane were recorded (Table 1). Postoperative nausea, vomiting vertigo and nystagmus were reported in both groups but the severity and duration were more commonly seen in the stapedectomy group (7 days compared to 2 days mean time).

### Table 1: Results of stapedotomy vs. stapedectomy. ($n=50$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Type of procedure</th>
<th>After stapedotomy</th>
<th>After stapedectomy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air-bone gap $\leq 10 \text{ dB}$</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air-bone gap $\leq 20 \text{ dB}$</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent conductive hearing loss</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete hearing loss</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reparative granuloma</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perilymph fistula</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facial palsy</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tympanic membrane perforation</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Discussion

Both stapedectomy and stapedotomy give excellent results for surgical treatment of otosclerosis. In experienced hands both techniques give satisfactory and stable long-term results. Stapes surgery is considered successful when air-bone gap is closed to less than 10 dB. In our study, 88% of patients post-stapedotomy resulted in air-bone gap less than 10 dB compared to 64% post-stapedectomy, which is statistically significant ($p<0.05$).

Postoperative sensorineural hearing loss is rare, but it is a devastating complication of stapes surgery. In stapedotomy, there is low manipulation and trauma to the inner ear and stapes superstructures resulting in a lower incidence of sensorineural hearing loss compared to stapedectomy. This is reflected in our study, no cases of sensorineural hearing loss reported post-stapedotomy compared to two cases following stapedectomy. Stapedotomy and stapedectomy are indicated not only in mild to moderate hearing loss, but also in severe to profound hearing loss.

In their study titled "Results of stapedotomy in otosclerosis with severe and profound hearing loss’ Kisilevsky et al. reported that hearing improvement is greater in severe to profound hearing loss compared with mild to moderate hearing loss. The success rate of both stapedectomy and stapedotomy greatly depends on the surgical skills of the surgeon and accurate determination of the prosthesis length. The diameter of piston prosthesis is also important. The 0.6 mm diameter piston prosthesis gives better results than 0.4 mm prosthesis and should be used if the surgical conditions allow it.

The most important and dangerous steps in stapes surgery are the excision of stapes superstructures and fenestration of footplate, which can be performed by simple perforator, microdrill or more accurately by laser. Abler et al. recommend CO2 laser in conjunction with a scanner system for footplate perforation to decrease complications such as perilymph leakage, inner ear irradiation and uncontrolled perforation. In the present study, we used the Microdrill which is a safe and effective tool, especially in thick stapes footplate and obliterator otosclerosis.

To increase the success rate, it is also important to give the patient postoperative instructions and precautions. Patients should avoid increasing pressure in the ear by lifting heavy objects, coughing, sneezing, blowing nose, flying airplanes, swimming and exposure to loud sounds. It is also important to avoid getting the ear wet by plugging the ear with cotton ball soaked in Vaseline when taking shower.

There is a clear majority that report better high frequency (4-8 KHz) outcomes for stapedotomy over stapedectomy. This has been shown to be associated with better speech discrimination in a number of publications. Many studies were conducted to compare the results of stapedectomy with stapedotomy. (Fig. 1)

In their study ‘‘Stapedectomy vs. stapedotomy: Do you really need a laser?’ Sedgwick et al. showed that stapedotomy gives as good results as stapedotomy. While Motta et al. in their study “Stapedotomy vs. stapedectomy: Comparison of hearing results” concluded that stapedotomy gives better results than stapedectomy.
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(measured by hearing improvement and reduction in the air-bone hearing gap, and especially at higher sound frequencies). 9

**Figure 1:** Air-bone gap closure <10dB (PTA) using stapedotomy vs. stapedectomy in different study groups.

Souza et al. in their study “Otosclerosis and Stapedectomy” found that Stapedotomy greatly reduces the chance of a perilymph fistula (leakage of cochlear fluid). 10 This is reflected in our study, where no cases of perilymph fistula were reported post-stapedotomy compared to one case post-stapedectomy.

The results of our study clearly show less post-operative complications following stapedotomy compared with stapedectomy, which is supported by the study of Thamjarayakul et al. “Stapes fixation surgery: Stapedectomy versus stapedotomy” who came to the conclusion that stapedotomy is less prone to complications than stapedectomy. 12

**Conclusion**

The results of this study clearly show that stapedotomy gives better hearing results and fewer complications than stapedectomy.
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