cesarean scar pregnancy (CSP) is a rare entity and can cause serious complications. The first case of CSP was reported by Larsen and Solomon in 1978. There is a rising trend in the number of cases being reported possibly due to the increasing prevalence of cesarean sections. Increasing the use of imaging studies such as ultrasonography and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) helps in detecting these cases. Early diagnosis would help avoid complications such as scar rupture and excessive hemorrhage, which may require a hysterectomy. This can endanger the woman's life and also affect future fertility. Patients who are vitally stable have more treatment options including conservative management. Hence, obstetricians/gynecologists and radiologists must be highly vigilant of this potentially fatal complication.

CASE REPORT
A 38-year-old woman with a past history of three cesarean sections, last cesarean was done two years back, was presented to the emergency department of Khoulia Hospital with a history of amenorrhea for five weeks, backache, and mild vaginal bleeding. Her vital signs were normal. Examination did not reveal any positive findings. A transvaginal ultrasound revealed an irregular gestational sac of about five-week and a faint fetal pole. The sac was lower down in the uterus at the level of the previous uterine scar. The sac was seen to involve up to 50% of the depth of the scar area [Figures 1 and 2].

The beta human chorionic gonadotropin (beta-hCG) level on the previous day of admission was 19 643 IU/L and had increased to 29 629 IU/L on the next day. An urgent MRI was performed and showed a normal sized uterus with an irregular complex mass of $25 \times 30 \times 30$ mm at the lower uterine segment anteriorly at the previous cesarean scar site. The complex mass was seen abutting the bladder dome posteriorly. There was no free fluid in the pelvis and no pelvic lymphadenopathy.

In view of the scan findings and high beta-hCG level, a differential diagnosis of an ectopic molar pregnancy with myometrial invasion or scar pregnancy was made. The patient and her family were counseled about the management options and they opted for a medical management with methotrexate (MTX). Baseline investigations and chest X-ray were done. She was planned for five injections of MTX (50 mg/m²) alternating with folinic acid. After the second dose of MTX, a repeat scan showed a well-defined gestational sac with a fetal pole and cardiac activity. Under ultrasound guidance, 25 mg MTX was injected intra-amniotically along with potassium chloride by the transvaginal route. Scan confirmed that the fetal cardiac activity ceased after the injection. The patient was monitored by serial beta-hCG levels, vaginal ultrasound, and MRI.
She was counseled about contraception. Except for occasional mild vaginal bleeding, the patient was well. Sixteen weeks after the treatment, the beta-hCG level came down to normal (non-pregnant) level. MRI was repeated after eight weeks of the treatment and showed a heterogeneous area of $17 \times 24 \times 24$ mm in the anterior uterine scar with heterogeneous signal intensities showing some blood products in it. The patient was followed-up with serial vaginal scans fortnightly. A transvaginal scan was repeated after 16 weeks of the treatment, which showed a normal sized uterus with a minimal hypoechoic area in the scar area [Figure 3].

**DISCUSSION**

The exact cause of CSP is still not clear. There is an early invasion of the myometrium and it is presumed that this occurs through a microscopic tract in the cesarean section scar tissue.\(^5\) The incidence has been reported to be 1:1 800 to 1:2 200 pregnancies.\(^5,6\) In CSP, the gestational sac gets embedded within the fibrous tissue of the previous cesarean section scar.\(^7\) The gestational age at diagnosis ranged from five to 12.4 weeks (mean $7.5 \pm 2.5$ weeks) and the time interval between the last cesarean and the CSP was six months to 12 years.\(^8\)

There are many risk factors implicated in the development of CSP. These include the number of cesarean sections, the time interval between the previous cesarean section and the subsequent pregnancy, and the indications for the previous cesarean section, but it is not clear whether these factors are directly related to CSP.\(^8\)

On review of the various case reports, it was noted that CSP were incidental ultrasonography finding in an asymptomatic woman while some present with mild painless vaginal bleeding. In a lesser percentage of patients, it was accompanied with mild to
moderate abdominal pain. The uterus may be tender during examination if the CSP is in the process of rupture. A patient with a ruptured CSP may present in a state of collapse or hemodynamically unstable.8

Most of the reported cases rarely progressed beyond the first trimester.6 If pregnancy in a cesarean scar progressed to the second or third trimester, there would be an increased risk of uterine rupture with massive hemorrhage, with a high risk of hysterectomy causing serious maternal morbidity and can affect future fertility.8 To reduce morbidity and fatal complications, it is important to diagnose a scar pregnancy as early and as accurately as possible. The diagnosis may be late till uterine rupture occurs or the woman goes into hypovolemic shock, and it may be difficult to differentiate between a miscarriage and a scar pregnancy due to similarities in presentation and examination findings.

Transvaginal sonography remains to be an important tool in diagnosing CSP and could soon be the gold standard for the diagnosis of scar implantation.5 Diagnostic criteria are as follows:

- An empty uterine cavity and an empty cervical canal.
- A gestational sac in the anterior part of the uterine isthmus.
- An absence of healthy myometrium between the bladder and gestational sac.5
- Circular blood flow surrounding the sac must also be clearly visible.9-11 CSPs were noted to be well perfused on Doppler examination.5

Usually a transvaginal scan combined with color flow Doppler can be a reliable tool in diagnosing a CSP. MRI may be used as an adjunct to ultrasound scan. As it is a rare condition, there are no specific guidelines available for the management of CSP. The main aim of treatment of CSP is to prevent massive blood loss and conserve the uterus to maintain future fertility, women’s health, and quality of life.12 Management plans are made based on the gestational age, hCG levels, and presence of cardiac activity. Management may be either medical or surgical. Various treatment options include dilatation and curettage and excision of trophoblastic tissues using laparotomy or laparoscopy, local and/or systemic MTX administration, bilateral hypogastric artery ligation, associated with dilatation and evacuation under laparoscopic guidance, and selective uterine artery embolization (UAE) in combination with curettage and/or MTX injections.1,13

Conservative management has been considered when there is a silent miscarriage in the scar in very early pregnancies and beta-hCG values are closely monitored.14,15 Conservative medical management has been recommended for women who are asymptomatic and hemodynamically stable with unruptured CSP of less than eight weeks gestation and a sonographic finding of myometrial thickness less than 2 mm between the CSP and the bladder.7

Use of MTX locally, systemically, or combined is recommended as the first line of treatment for CSPs. Use of MTX as a systemic regimen will require repeated doses due to its short half-life (10 hours). The fibrous tissue surrounding the scar is less vascularized, and hence, can slow down the systemic absorption of the drug.16 Local MTX administration has been shown to act faster as it achieves a high concentration locally and avoids the side effects of the medication. Jurkovic et al, used 25 mg of MXT as a direct injection into the gestational sac. Local administration may be done by ultrasound guidance either through transvaginal or transabdominal route. The transvaginal route requires a shorter distance to the gestational sac and reduces the risks of bladder injury.12 Local injection with a 16 gauge double lumen needle can be done in case of concurrent embryo aspiration.6,13 A single intravenous dose of 1 500 mg of cefuroxime and 500 mg of metronidazole as antibiotic prophylaxis is advocated by Jurkovic et al.5 In cases with a viable fetus, local injection of potassium chloride and hyperosmolar glucose or crystalline trichosanthin will act as an embryocide.5 Systemic MTX administration has been found to be more effective when hCG levels are less than 5 000 IU/L.8 Intralesional injection and/or other additional interventions are used when the levels are more than 5 000 IU/L.17 Following systemic administration of MTX, the gestational sac could bulge into the uterine cavity and this could help in performing an easier and uncomplicated dilatation and curettage procedure.19 Initial treatment with MTX followed by dilatation and curettage has shown results of a shorter time of treatment and a more favorable effect.19 Some studies used UAE to reduce the blood loss. Lower bleeding volumes and hospitalization time were noted in the UAE group compared to the MTX group. No major side effects were noted in both groups. UAE followed by suction evacuation were recommended as a priority option.
in the management of CSP. It is important to rule out uterine rupture when any form of non-surgical management is planned. During the treatment, the patient must be counseled for surgery in case medical treatment fails or the CSP ruptures.

Suction evacuation must be done under ultrasound guidance. Hemostasis by local compression can be achieved in case of intraoperative bleeding, by inserting a 16-22 G Foley’s catheter to the level of the implantation site and inflated with 30–90 ml saline. The catheter is then gradually deflated and removed after 12–24 hours. Follow-up was done on a weekly basis at the outpatient department by clinical assessment of the patient and measurements of serum hCG levels. Once hCG levels drop to 25 IU/L, an ultrasound examination may be done to reassess the size of the retained products of conception. Follow-up ultrasound may be done monthly until it is confirmed that all the pregnancy tissue has been expelled or absorbed.

Bleeding and rupture were noted to occur in a scar pregnancy, which was managed non-surgically and there was a declining serum hCG level. Women with a viable CSP who opted to continue with their pregnancies without any medical intervention were more likely to have severe hemorrhage requiring emergency hysterectomy once the pregnancy progressed beyond the first trimester.

Follow-up of the patients after medical management is done by monitoring the hCG values. Hysteroscopy can be used to identify the gestational sac and visualize the vessels at the implantation site allowing their coagulation and thus, prevent bleeding. Applying thermal energy to the scar could increase the latent risk of bladder injury and perforation. A shorter operating time and reduced blood loss were reported when a transvaginal approach was done to remove the ectopic pregnancy and repair of the underlying uterine defect. Robinson et al. reported a novel approach of laparoscopic guided hysteroscopic CSP evaluation, which help reduce bladder injury and intraoperative hemorrhage. Paracervical injection of vasopressin could further help reduce the risk of operative bleeding. However, the number of cases managed hysteroscopically were small and the results were inconclusive.

Laparoscopy for CSP treatment is recommended for cases that are hemodynamically stable and have a deeply implanted gestational sac growing towards the abdominal cavity and bladder. The surgery may be converted to a laparotomy if there is difficulty in achieving hemostasis.

Surgical treatment is recommended when medical management fails or when a patient is hemodynamically unstable. Observation of a large amount of free fluid in the pelvic cavity on ultrasound scan will require surgery. Internal iliac ligation with partial lesion resection and uterine neoplasty has significant advantages in the surgical treatment of CSPs. Huanxiao et al. reported 40 cases of CSP and recommended a transvaginal hysterotomy approach for removal of the ectopic tissue and repair of the uterine defect. They found the approach to be safe, cost effective, shorter hospital stay, and less postoperative pain and blood loss. Surgical treatment has the advantage that the gestational mass can be removed and the defect can be repaired simultaneously, however, none of the treatment modalities can guarantee uterine integrity.

Jurkovic et al. recommended surgical repair of the scar either as a primary treatment or as a secondary operation after the initial treatment in women who desire further pregnancies. This could decrease the risk of recurrence of CSP. Once the gestational mass is surgically excised, it has been noted that hCG returns to normal much more quickly within one to two weeks. Dehiscence and repeat scar pregnancy have been reported following successful medical treatment with local MTX injections.

High intensity focused ultrasound combined with suction curettage under hysteroscopic guidance was recently reported to be a safe and effective modality of treatment when the gestational period is more than eight weeks. Hysterectomies were reported as a primary mode of treatment or when other treatment modalities failed. Surgical treatment carries the risks of anesthesia apart from the operative risks especially of massive bleeding.

There are no reports available on the time lapse and possible teratogenic risks to a new pregnancy following the use of MTX. Some authors recommended future pregnancies to be avoided for more than three months and probably one to two years. No particular contraceptive method has been reported to be superior to the others. Successful viable intrauterine pregnancies were reported by many authors following a conservative management of CSP. An early transvaginal ultrasound should be done to assess the location of the new pregnancy...
and the woman should be closely monitored through the rest of the pregnancy as they may be at risk of placenta accreta. A vaginal delivery is recommended unless there is some other obstetric indication for an operative delivery. Three cases of recurrent CSPs were reported in world literature. For women with a history of ruptured CSP or a very thin or absent myometrium between the CSP and bladder, an elective cesarean delivery is advocated in the current pregnancy to prevent the possible risk of a spontaneous uterine rupture. Fetal maturity can be achieved with antenatal corticosteroids.

**CONCLUSION**

CSP is a life threatening condition and the incidence is rising due to the increasing incidence of cesarean sections. It can have catastrophic complications affecting maternal morbidity and loss of future fertility. The liberal use of transvaginal ultrasound to assess early pregnancies helps early diagnosis and planning of the management. If the condition is not diagnosed, a simple gynecological procedure such as a dilatation and curettage may end up with massive hemorrhage and unexpected complications. The present day clinician should be aware of such a condition and have a high index of suspicion. Every pregnant woman with a past history of a cesarean section should have a careful ultrasonographic assessment of the previous scar. As there are no evidence based recommendations available, clinicians will have to depend on the available case reports and counsel the women accordingly on the various treatment options available to make an informed choice. Consultants should be involved in patient counseling and planning the further management of such cases.
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